Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodiles) Amendment Regulation 2018

Explanatory notes for SL 2018 No. 148

made under the

Environmental Offsets Act 2014 Nature Conservation Act 1992 State Penalty Enforcement Act 1999

General Outline

Short title

Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodiles) Amendment Regulation 2018

Authorising law

Section 93 of the *Environmental Offsets Act 2014* Section 175 of the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* Section 165 of the *State Penalties Enforcement Act 1999*

Policy objectives and the reasons for them

The policy objective of the *Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodiles) Amendment Regulation 2018* (amendment regulation) is to:

- reflect in the Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017, the Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006 and the State Penalties Enforcement Regulation 2014 that the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2007 has been replaced with the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018;
- reflect in the Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017 and the Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006 that the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018 allows for the holder of a commercial wildlife harvesting licence for estuarine crocodile eggs to take estuarine crocodile eggs; and

• correct errors in the Environmental Offsets Regulation 2014, the Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017 and the Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006.

The amendment regulation does not change the objects of the *Nature Conservation Act 1992* or the regulation of these matters.

Achievement of policy objectives

To achieve the policy objectives, the amendment regulation:

- inserts notes in the *Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017* and the *Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006* to assist in identifying where the *Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018* includes provisions that vary from standard licencing requirements for the purpose of egg harvesting;
- inserts an example in the *Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017* that the grant of a commercial wildlife harvesting licence for estuarine crocodile eggs cannot be granted if it would be in contravention of the *Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018*;
- allows a person to tamper with an animal breeding place under the *Nature Conservation* (*Wildlife Management*) *Regulation 2006* if they are taking estuarine crocodile eggs under a commercial wildlife harvesting licence for estuarine crocodile eggs or preparing a report of research to accompany an application for such a licence;
- exempts a person who takes an estuarine crocodile egg under a commercial wildlife harvesting licence for estuarine crocodile eggs from payment of the conservation value for the egg under the *Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006*;
- ensures continuation of existing offences by replacing reference to *Nature Conservation* (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2007 with the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018;
- inserts in the State Penalties Enforcement Regulation 2014 that the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2007 has been replaced with the Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018; and
- corrects cross-referencing errors in the *Environmental Offsets Regulation 2014*, the *Nature Conservation (Administration) Regulation 2017*, and the *Nature Conservation (Wildlife Management) Regulation 2006*.

Consistency with policy objectives of authorising law

The amendment regulation is consistent with the main objectives of the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*, that is to conserve nature, and with how the object is to be achieved, including ensuring the take and use of protected wildlife is ecologically sustainable.

Inconsistency with policy objectives of other legislation

The amendment regulation is not inconsistent with the policy objectives of other legislation. The amendment regulation does not change policy intent.

Benefits and costs of implementation

The amendment regulation does not impose significant adverse impacts on the community, business or government.

Consistency with fundamental legislative principles

The amendment regulation is consistent with fundamental legislative principles, as defined in section 4(5) of the *Legislative Standards Act 1992*. Namely it is:

- (a) within the power that, under an Act of subordinate legislation (the authorising law), allows the subordinate legislation to be made;
- (b) consistent with the policy objective of the authorising law;
- (c) contains only matters appropriate to subordinate legislation; and
- (d) amends statutory instruments only.

The amendment regulation has no adverse impact on the rights and liberties of individuals or on the institution of Parliament.

Consultation

In accordance with *The Queensland Government Guide to Better Regulation*, the Office of Best Practice Regulation was not consulted in relation to the amendment regulation. The Department of Environment and Science applied a self-assessable exclusion from undertaking further regulatory impact analysis (Category (a) – Regulatory proposals that make consequential amendments).

No other specific consultation was undertaken on the amendment regulation as it makes minor consequential amendments and correct errors as a result of the *Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2018*, which remakes the *Nature Conservation (Estuarine Crocodile) Conservation Plan 2007*.

©The State of Queensland 2018